

READING STRATEGIES WITH BLOOM'S TAXONOMY

Mamarajabova Kholida Botir qizi

Student of Samarkand State Institute of foreign languages

Supervisor: Sirojiddinova Shahribonu Sirojiddinovna

Abstract: Appraisal is an fundamental portion of the teaching-learning handle. Students learning can be measured by distinctive methods. In spite of a noteworthy increment in test strategies, various issues encompassing testing of comprehension stay uncertain. This article examines the relationship between the level of considering forms in comprehension questions and the students` execution. The discoveries show that the level of questions planned agreeing to Blooms Taxonomy Scientific categorization impact the students execution in replying comprehension questions. The discoveries conclude that there a relationship between the level of considering forms required and the students capacity to reply these questions accurately.

Key words: Application, evaluation, Bloom's taxonomy, Illustrative General Instructional Objectives, comprehension, charts and graphs.

Introduction: Bloom's Taxonomy is an excellent tool for the development of teaching outcomes because it explains how to learn: you have to memorize concepts before you can actually understand them. You have to start understanding the concept before you can apply it. To rate this process, you must have analysed it. Blooms Scientific categorization could be a classification of the distinctive results and abilities that teachers set for their understudies (learning results). The scientific categorization was proposed in 1956 by Benjamin Blossom, an instructive analyst at the College of Chicago. The phrasing has been as of late upgraded to incorporate the taking after six levels of learning.

Since the 1950's, Bloom's Scientific categorization has been utilized to structure the considering handle in instruction. Afterward inquire about bolstered the concept that the normal considering handle starts with the lower levels of the Scientific classification, and continues to the higher levels. However, consequent investigate uncovered that up to 90 percent of educating happens at the information level, which is the least of Bloom's six levels (Davidson & Decker, 2006). Due to the amendment of guidelines and tests, instructors must guarantee that understudies are able to operate at higher cognitive levels. In this manner, a need has surfaced to extend the utilize of higher arrange considering abilities by the understudies. Since numerous instructors as it were utilize the lower levels of cognitive considering in their instruction, a worldview move in how instructors plan and conduct their lessons must happen . A challenge presently confronted by chairmen is how to assist instructors get it the require for

instruction and appraisal to winding to the higher levels, since instructing at the higher levels envelops the lower levels. A moment challenge is to create lessons containing the ordered substance that advance towards the higher levels of the scientific classification in a way that's not overpowering to understudies or instructors. Teachers are more cognizant of giving understudies openings for victory by creating numerous exposures to the desired substance based on the Virginia Guidelines of Learning (SOL). In this manner, understudies must have openings to hone and apply the particular aptitudes included within the appraisal some time recently the organization of the test. Understudies moreover ought to have openings to connected with the organize of the test some time recently endeavoring the genuine appraisal. The following are the strategies in Blooming's taxonomy:

1. Knowledge is defined as remembering previously learnt things. This may include recalling a wide range of material, from single facts to entire theories, but all that is necessary is the recollection of the relevant knowledge. Knowledge is the lowest level of learning outcomes in the cognitive domain. Illustrative General Instructional Objectives Understands popular terms. Knows particular facts. Knows the methodologies and procedures. Understands basic concepts.

2. Comprehension is defined as the ability to understand the meaning of something. This can be demonstrated by translating content from one form to another (words or numbers), interpreting material (explaining or summarizing), and forecasting future trends (predicting repercussions or impacts). These learning outcomes go one step beyond mere material recall and represent the lowest level.

3. Application is the ability to apply learnt material in new and concrete contexts. This may include the application of rules, procedures, concepts, principles, laws, and theories. The learning outcomes in this category demand a greater level of knowledge than comprehension.

Illustrative General Instructional Objectives Apply principles to new settings. Apply theory to real-world issues. Solves math difficulties. Creates charts and graphs. demonstrates proper use of a process.

4. Synthesis refers to the ability to combine pieces to generate a new entity. This could include creating a distinctive communication (theme or speech), a plan of operations (research proposal), or a collection of abstract relations (information classification scheme). This area's learning outcomes place a strong emphasis on creative behaviors, particularly the development of new patterns and structures.

5. Evaluation is concerned with the ability to assess the value of content (statement, novel, poem, or research paper) for a certain purpose. The judgments must be based on specific criteria. These criteria can be internal (organisation) or external (relevance and purpose), and the student can choose whether to set them or be provided them. Illustrative General Instructional Objectives Evaluates the uniformity of written

material. Judges the extent to which conclusions are backed by data. Uses internal criteria to determine the value of a piece (art, music, or literature). The value of a work (art, music, or writing) is determined by external standards.

6. Objectives (learning goals) should be established in a pedagogical exchange so that both teachers and students understand the purpose of the exchange. Using frameworks to organize objectives might help teachers clarify their own and students' objectives.

In conclusion, Bloom's Taxonomy is a valuable framework for understanding and organizing learning objectives. Using the framework in the classroom, workplace, or personal learning environment, you can also ensure that you make the most of your learning experiences and achieve the best possible outcomes and results.

References:

1. Jessica Shabatura "Assignments and Measuring Student Learning" 2022.07.26
2. Benjamin S.Bloom, Bertram B.Mesia, and David R.Krathwohl 1964. Taxonomy of Educational Objectives
3. Trankersley,n.d;"The Critical Thinking Community"2008
4. Irfan Hyder "Bloom's Taxonomy in Higher Education" 2016