

COMMUNICATIVE PRAGMATIC STRATEGIES

Rajabova Muhayo Sanjar qizi

Master of Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages

Abstract: This research work tries to recognition at the communicative strategic competence, which makes a specialty of the capacity to apply distinctive procedures through language customers to supply the meant that means (message) of the addresser (sender) to three the addressee (receiver). There is a want to make bigger the scope of communicative competence to encompass the belief of “strategies” (techniques), as basically, language customers might realize what language is most effective in the event that they realize what language can do for them.

Key words: communication, strategies, sociolinguistic, discoursal, pragmatic, competence.

Communication and communicative competence are frequently studied with numerous disciplines inclusive of linguistics, schooling, synthetic intelligence, media, etc. The continuous interest in verbal exchange is because of its involvement in definitely all components of human interplay. Actually, human verbal exchange is complicated at the linguistic, pragmatic, cognitive, social and emotional degrees. This is what makes humans and human interplay really well worth continuous investigation.

Generally speaking, communicative competence covers four primary elements.

- 1- grammatical competence, which offers with syntax;
- 2- sociolinguistic competence, which covers social appropriateness of conversation;
- 3- discoursal competence that covers brotherly love and coherence in discourse;
- 4- strategic competence, which makes a specialty of the pragmatic feature of conversation.

The first 3 components have obtained high-quality interest through many students interested by the examine of language, linguistics and specifically withinside the examine of 2nd language mastering and acquisition. However, the fourth thing is, so far, instead a neglected location. Its hypothesized that during case of communicate interruption or failure the interlocutors may also choose some other method that is taken into consideration to be suitable to achieve the reason of the interlocution. In case the approach fails they are able to choose any other that is extra affective and extra suitable. Traditionally, the examine of communicative competence has a tendency to recognition on verbal exchange, therefore, speech is seemed because the number one factor. Therefore, it's far prescriptive withinside the feel that positive functions of communicate are taken into consideration, at the same time as others are ignored. The

prescriptive technique to communicative competence is strongly prompted with the aid of using linguistic prejudice on the one hand, and through social norms on the other. Hence, it's evaluated consistent with fluency in speech, adequacy in use of complicated phrases, pomposity of language, and articulation. Structural linguistics (SL) perceives language as a code, and the take a look at of grammar makes a specialty of sentence shape because the center of analysis. It rejects the prescriptive technique closer to language description, considering that structuralism advocates that language is utilized by audio system from diverse history. Thus, structuralists view each person local speaker of a language as communicatively capable.

Generative transformational grammar (GTG), with the affect of Chomsky, advocates that linguistic competence isn't always supposed to tell pedagogy, however alternatively serves as a part of growing a concept of the linguistic machine itself. Chomsky proposes the dichotomy of "competence" and "performance", which idealized 'competence' because the summary, innate language understanding of the person local speaker, and wonderful from "performance" which refers to how audio system use and revel in language. The downside of the dichotomy is that 'competence' is idealized, whilst 'performance' is genuinely ignored. The weak point of the dichotomy isn't always granting which means its real crucial significance in language description, treating it as peripheral, for that reason as gambling an interpretive position in preference to a number one in language. five Actually, each, SL and GTG have stiffened the examine of communicative competence through brushing off the socio-cultural importance in language description. The time period "communicative competence" became coined through Hymes in response towards Chomsky's difference among "competence" and "performance" in well-known and his summary perception of "competence" in particular. Hymes discusses the ethnographic-orientated exploration of communicative competence that consists of communicative shape and feature in crucial relation to every different. His proposition changed into that audio system of a language need to have extra than grammatical competence in order to speak correctly in a language; additionally they want to recognize how the language is utilized by the contributors of a speech network to perform their purposes. However, Hymes' "studies orientated thoughts have gone through an epistemic transformation: from empirically orientated inquiries to an idealized pedagogic doctrine", Leung. Yet, Hymes changed into specially worried with growing a principle of training and gaining knowledge of. Later, sociolinguists widened the scope with the aid of using focusing at the interrelation among language and society in well known, and language in its social context in particular. Accordingly, because of sociolinguistics, communicative competence is not limited to linguistic competence, because the idea has been broadened to cowl social appropriateness. Therefore, the time period "sociolinguistic competence" is regularly utilized in a few studies works interchangeably with

“communicative competence”. Thus, sociolinguistics shifted the eye of studies from the character of the mechanistic issue of transmission to the importance of conversation in its social context.

Pragmatics can be described because the examine of ways the association of phrases and terms can extrude the which means of a sentence. The capacity to apprehend the addresser's meant which means is called “pragmatic competence”, that is worried with the pragmatic feature of conversation, to attain the goals of communicate, the supposed which means of the addresser. Pragmatics has introduced a tremendous contribution to the idea of communicative competence via way of means of focusing at the appropriateness of language in its social context in addition to at the characteristic of language use in real interplay among interlocutors. Thus, 'pragmatic communicative competence' is involved with using good enough interplay techniques to speak the addresser's meant which means in an real situational interplay among real interlocutors. Therefore, at the same time as the alternative propositions of the linguistic communicative competence are involved with analyzing the linguistic factors at the linguistic stage of language phonology, lexis, morphology, syntax and semantics, grammatical and which means competence in addition to the textual competence mainly love, coherence and rhetorical organization, pragmatic competence specializes in the extralinguistic stage of language, Pragmatics in its broadest feel, which is going past the linguistic degree of language, to cowl such factors as functions, variations, interactional skills, and socio-cultural framework, divides “communicative competence” into the large headings of “organizational competence”, which incorporates each grammatical and discourse (or textual) competence, and “pragmatic competence”, which incorporates each sociolinguistic and illocutionary competence. Following the version proposed through pragmatic communicative competence covers the illocutionary competence and the sociolinguistic competence.

Communication will be defined as a manner which an trade of significant messages takes area among interlocutors, regarding thoughts, thoughts, concepts, feelings, etc., closer to a collectively familiar intention to create shared know-how. Among the maximum proper definitions of “communication” rank the subsequent "the supplying or interchange of thoughts, opinions, or statistics with the aid of using speech, writing, or symptoms and symptoms", "a method through which facts is exchanged among people via a not unusual place device of symbols, symptoms and symptoms or behavior", "a system where in facts is encoded and imparted via way of means of a sender to a receiver through a channel medium", "the articulation of sending a message thru distinct media", etc. Thus, the above cited and lots of different equal definitions describe “communication” as an act or example of transmitting a significant and applicable piece of facts via a code. eight Thus, verbal exchange is a manner where in a significant and applicable message is encoded and imparted via way of means of

an addresser to (an) addressee(s) through a channel. The addressee is anticipated to decode the message and to offer the addresser with a remarks displaying the act of accurate decoding. Communication can be verbal or non-verbal as long as a significant message is transmitted successfully. Following communication can be defined as a system of records transmission ruled through 3 degrees of semiotic rules:

1. Syntactic (formal houses of symptoms and symptoms and logos),
2. Pragmatic (involved with the family members among symptoms and symptoms/expressions and their customers);
3. Semantic (the have a look at of relationships among symptoms and symptoms and emblems and what they represent).

However, it has to be mentioned right here that the outline above must have modified the order into syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic, because the first and second are linguistic and the third is extralinguistic, which is going past the linguistic degree of language withinside the description of language components.

Types of verbal exchange: Following Mehrabian and Ferris there are 3 predominant components in human face-to-face verbal exchange, namely: 1- frame language, 2- voice tonality, and 3-words. The observe states that 55% of effect is decided through frame language, postures, gestures and eye contact; 38% via way of means of the tone of voice; and 7% through the content material or the phrases used withinside the conversation procedure.

Types of communication according to classifying Wikipedia resources

Verbal (utterances)

Visual(gestures, frame language, video, TV and computer),

Facilitated verbal exchange

Graphic conversation

Non violent conversation

Science verbal exchange

Strategic communique

Superluminal verbal exchange

Technical conversation

Accordingly, verbal exchange might be defined as a social interacting in which as a minimum fascinated events percentage a not unusual place set of symptoms and symptoms and semiotic rules. The idea of verbal exchange has been very well studied throughout disciplines because it permeates truely in all human interplay activities, concerning cognitive, social, and emotional elements. Non-human communication isn't treated on this studies paper, due to the fact that it's miles past the described limits.

Communicative Competence: 'communicative competence' is an extralinguistic time period, exactly speaking, it falls withinside the area of pragmatics. It refers to one's cap potential to speak the supposed which means in an real interlocution.

Although a few students use the time period to consult a learner's (L2) (2nd language capacity) which falls in the area of carried out linguistics, this paper offers with it as a realistic perception. The idea of 'communicative competence' covers 4 fundamental elements, that have acquired large interest in language research and literacy schooling in popular, and in 2d language gaining knowledge of in particular. Yet, little interest is given to the capacity of using one-of-a-kind methods and techniques in human verbal exchange. This paper makes a speciality of this not noted location of communicative strategic competence. The expertise of 'communicative competence' has been prompted with the aid of using pragmatics and the philosophy of language, with a focal point on 'speech acts' as in large part defined via way of means of Austin and Searle. Canale and Swain talk communicative competence and outline it in phrases of 4 components, namely:

1. Grammatical competence (phrases and rules).
2. Sociolinguistic competence (appropriateness).
3. Discourse competence (cohesion and coherence)
4. Strategic competence (suitable use of communicate techniques).

Le concurs with this type and proposes that the idea of 'communicative competence' covers the subsequent major factors: 1 Grammatical competence (historically handling syntax). 2 Sociolinguistic competence (handling social appropriateness of communicate). 3. Discourse competence (handling brotherly love and coherence in discourse). 4. Strategic competence (that specialize in pragmatic feature of verbal exchange). Another survey of 'communicative competence' is carried out through Bachman dividing it into the extensive headings of: 1 Organizational competence (which include each grammatical and discursal (or textual) competence). Pragmatic competence (masking each sociolinguistic and illocutionary competence). As said earlier verbal exchange is a procedure wherein a message is encoded (shaped and dispatched) through an addresser to be decoded via way of means of an addressee. Communication problems arise while the encoded message differs from the decoded one, the dispatched message differs from the only acquired. Sociolinguistics shifted the eye of studies from the mechanistic thing of transmission to the importance of conversation in its social context. Therefore, sociolinguistics makes a specialty of social determinants of language use, instead of treating grammatical knowhow as number one in verbal exchange. Actually, this shift in orientation additionally applies to that of communicative competence. However, Le states that even as "it's far important to understand social elements in communicative competence, cognitive elements similarly play crucial roles because the encoding method is the number one supply of communicate wherein encoders want to utilize all to be had resources, social in addition to cognitive to gain communicative goals". Traditionally, the examine of communicative competence centered on verbal exchange. Thus, speech turned into

appeared as number one thing, that is instead prescriptive within the experience that sure social capabilities of conversation are taken into consideration at the same time as others are rejected. Thus, the idea of communicative competence is stricken by linguistic, social, cultural and pragmatic norms. This research paper specializes in the applicable factors of communicative competence. Thus, this paper neither offers with discourse nor conversational analysis. Instead, it classifies Communicative Competence into:

- 1 Linguistic competence,
- 2 Sociolinguistic competence,
3. Strategic competence,
4. Linguistic competence:

Generally speaking, a linguistically able man or woman is seemed as one that makes use of complicated, long, pompous phrases and articulates nicely. The belief of linguistic correctness reasons pain to the conventional prescriptive faculty which treats language on a normative basis. However, structural linguistics research language specially as a code, and offers with grammar with a focal point on sentence shape, concerning it because the middle unit of analysis. The contribution of structural linguistics to verbal exchange is its thirteen rejection of the prescriptive nature of language description, primarily based totally at the belief that audio system of a language belong to numerous social backgrounds, consequently, language isn't always constrained to audio system of instructional history or excessive social and affordable status. The following examples illustrate the variations among prescriptive and descriptive grammars supplied through the researcher: 1 a. I do not know not anything. 1 b. I realize not anything. (or I do not know anything) 2 a. I observed the individual whom I talked to. 2 b. I observed the man or woman to whom I talked. 3 a. I have a tendency to strongly reject the idea that everyone terrible human beings are lazy to earn their living. 3 b. I have a tendency to reject strongly the idea that everyone bad human are lazy to earn their living. In the examples above (1 a.) makes use of double negative; (2 a). ends with a preposition, and makes use of break up infinitive. To prescriptive grammarians such times as (1 a, 2 a, 3 a)(within the pairs) are linguistically incorrect due to the fact that they do now no longer exemplify the language of nicely knowledgeable humans, therefore, properly and right verbal exchange ought to reject such ill-built sentences. Sociolinguistic Competence: Sociolinguistics specializes in the relation among language and society in standard, and language in its social context in particular. Due to sociolinguistic research on this respect, communicative competence (a time period proposed through Hymes is now no longer restricted to linguistic competence) as delivered by Chomsky. Instead, recognition is positioned on social appropriateness. This explains why the time period "Sociolinguistic competence" is used interchangeably with "communicative competence".

To sum up, it's far apparent that during each day by day scenario during the world, interlocutors want to select the best linguistic desire and the precise communicative method to speak the meant that means if you want to obtain the communicate goals, in any other case the conversation among the interlocutors can be interrupted, or maybe fails. Without communicative techniques, there won't be mutual knowledge among people.

References

- Archibald, John (1997). "Second Language Acquisition" in Contemporary Linguistics: an Introduction (ed.) by William O'Grady, Michael Dobrovolsky, Francis Katamba. Ask. com service. <http://dictionary.reference.com>. Retrieved 8.3.2009.
- Austin, J.L. (1962) How to Do Things with Words. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bachman, L. (1990). Fundamental Considerations in Language Testing. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bialystok, E. (1990). Communication Strategies. A Psychological Analysis of Second Language Use. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Canale, M. and M Swain, (1980). "Theoretical Bases of Communicative Approaches to Second Language Teaching and Testing" in Applied Linguistics I.
- Chomsky, N. (1965) Aspects of the Theory of Syntax. Cambridge, MA.: MIT Press.
- Di Pietro, R. (1987). Strategic Interaction. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.