MODERN TECHNOLOGICAL POSSIBILITIES OF ETIOLOGICAL DIAGNOSTICS OF SEPSIS

Assistant Nabieva Farangiz Sadriddinovna Clinical resident Aliqulov Samariddin Sirojiddin O'g'li Clinical resident Suyunova Maftuna Shokir Qizi

Department of Clinical and Laboratory Diagnostics with the Course of Clinical and Laboratory Diagnostics of the Faculty of Postgraduate Education

Samarkand State Medical University

Annotation. Sepsis is currently considered to be the result of an uncontrolled systemic inflammatory response (generalized inflammatory response) to the presence of an infection. Diagnosis of sepsis includes the identification of an etiological infectious factor - the identification of the pathogen and the study of its sensitivity to antibacterial drugs, since it is the use of appropriate antibiotics that, ceteris paribus, is the key to the final cure of the patient.

Key words: sepsis, bacteriological research, serological method, Mass spectrometry, polymerase chain reaction, identification.

Traditional methods for the etiological diagnosis of sepsis include:

- 1. Bacteriological research (determination of the type of pathogen, its concentration and the most effective drug for antibacterial therapy);
 - 2. Serological method (detection of antigen and antibodies);
 - 3. Mass spectrometry;
 - 4. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR);
 - 5. Gas chromatography (an express method for diagnosing anaerobic infections).

For clinical practice, the most important information regarding the choice of effective antibiotic therapy is the bacteriological method. Despite significant technological progress in relation to bacteriological studies (use of selective media, automation, accelerated diagnostic panels), the time to establish the type of pathogen and determine its sensitivity to antibacterial drugs takes at best 24- 48 hours. At the same time, from the standpoint of evidence-based medicine, it has been established that every hour of delay in adequate antibiotic therapy in patients with septic shock reduces survival by 7.6% [2,4,10,12].

Modern technologies make it possible to reduce the time of etiological diagnosis and selection of effective antibacterial drugs for the treatment of patients with sepsis to several hours. New technological approaches in the diagnosis of sepsis can be divided into 3 groups:

1. Accelerated determination of sensitivity to antibacterial drugs and an individual

approach to treatment;

- 2. Accelerated identification of microorganisms and empirical therapy;
- 3. Combination of the optimal possibilities of 2 approaches (rapid identification and rapid determination of sensitivity to antibacterial drugs) and an individual approach to treatment.

Technologies belonging to the first group make it possible to monitor the growth of bacteria in broths designed specifically for human urine and biological fluids, starting from the moment of inoculation. Mathematical processing of the results of bacterial growth in real time makes it possible to obtain not only a qualitative assessment of the presence / absence of microorganisms in the sample, but also a quantitative assessment of the initial bacterial content in CFU / ml. The result of the study can be obtained within 3-6 hours, and sensitivity to antibacterial drugs can be established within the next 3 hours [13,14,15,6].

The second group of approaches is based on the use of modifications of the mass spectrometry method. The most actively used matrix-assisted laser desorption / ionization (MALDI). The colony material is mixed with an ionizing matrix, then the sample is irradiated with an ultraviolet laser, which ionizes the soluble proteins of microorganisms, which are distributed by mass / charge. Analysis of the mass spectrum of proteins from colonies with a database of spectra collected from known microorganisms using a database program allows you to identify the type of bacteria. Based on the established type of bacteria and analysis of the literature, the most effective antibacterial therapy is determined. Identification of the type of microorganism is carried out within a few minutes. The disadvantage of the method is that it takes time (at least 24 hours) to obtain a pure culture [7,8].

The combination of 2 approaches allows you to establish the type of microorganism in patients with sepsis for a maximum of 6 hours and determine the sensitivity to antibacterial drugs for the next 3 hours [1,3,5,11,9].

Conclusion. Thus, modern technologies make it possible to reduce the time for the etiological diagnosis of sepsis, the determination of sensitivity to antibacterial drugs, and the choice of an individual approach to treatment to one working day of bacteriological laboratory specialists.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Engel C., Brunkhorst F.M., Bone H.G., et al. Epidemiology of sepsis in Germany: results from a national prospective multicenter study. Intensive Care Med 2007; 33:606-18.
- 2. Mancini N., Clerici D., Diotti R., et al. Molecular diagnosis of sepsis in neutropenic patients with haematological malignancies. J Med Microbiol 2008; 57:601-4.
 - 3. Berdiyarova Sh.Sh., Yusupova N. A., Murtazaeva N. K., and Ibragimova N. S..

- "Clinical and laboratory features of chronic hematogenic osteomyelitis". // Thematics Journal of Microbiology 6, no. 1 (2022).
- 4. IN Sabirovna, IB Fikriyevich, BS Shukurullayevna <u>Clinical picture of hypoxicischemic encephalopathy in newborn with different gestation date</u> Thematics Journal of Microbiology, 6 (1), 2022
- 5. Mansurov T. T., Daminov F. A. Complex Diagnosis and Treatment of Patients with Acute Adhesive Intestinal Obstruction //British Medical Journal. $-2022. T. 2. N_{\odot}$. 1.
- 6. Kudratova Z. E. et al. Frequency of atypical microflora in children with acute obstructive bronchitis //Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal. $-2022. T. 3. N\underline{\circ}. 4. C. 1454-1460.$
- 7. Sabirovna I. N., Kizi U. S. I. FEATURES OF THE COURSE OF POSTPONED PREGNANCY //Research Focus. 2023. T. 2. № 1. C. 236-240
- 8. Tursunov F.O'. et al. QANDLI DIABET VA COVID-19 BILAN KASALLANGAN BEMORLARDA GLIKEMIYA VA GLYUKOZURIYA TAXLILI //Journal of new century innovations. 2023. T. 23. №. 1. C. 94-98.
- 9. Вершинина М.Г., Кухтина Н.Б. Лабораторная диагностика сепсиса в условиях многопрофильного стационара. Хирургия. Журнал им. Н.И. Пирогова. 2014;(6):74-76.
- 10. Даминов Ф. А. и др. Хирургическая тактика лечения диффузнотоксического зоба //Академический журнал Западной Сибири. -2013. Т. 9. №. 1. С. 21-21.
- 11. НА Юсупова, ШШ Бердиярова, ИА Юлаева, <u>КЛИНИКО-ЛАБОРАТОРНЫЕ ПОКАЗАТЕЛИ У ДЕТЕЙ С МОЧЕКАМЕННОЙ БОЛЕЗНЬЮ И КАЧЕСТВО ЛАБОРАТОРНЫХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ В ЭТАПЕ СТАЦИОНАРНОГО ЛЕЧЕНИЯ</u>
- Ш.. 12. Юсупова H. A., Бердиярова III. Юлаева И. Α. ГЕМАТОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ХАРАКТЕРИСТИКИ ФАКТОРОВ РИСКА ОЦЕНКА ПРОГНОЗА ПРИ COVID-19 //Вестник науки и образования. – 2021. – №. 5-2 (108). - C. 25-29.
- 13. Кудратова 3. Э. и др. АТИПИК МИКРОФЛОРА ЭТИОЛОГИЯЛИ Ў ТКИР ОБСТРУКТИВ БРОНХИТЛАРИНИНГ Ў ЗИГА ХОС КЛИНИК КЕЧИШИ //Research Focus. -2022. T. 1. №. 4. C. 23-32.
- 14. Ёлов А.А., Морозова О.А., Карпова О.А., Михина Л.В. Обнаружение и идентификация бактерий методом ПЦР в реальном времени на фрагментах гена рРНК оперона. Вестник гематологии 2012; 8(1):42-3. //The 6th International scientific and practical conference, 2021. C. 780-792
- 15. Попов Д.А., Вострикова Т.Ю. Первый опыт применения метода ПЦР в режиме реального времени для диагностики бактериемии в послеоперационном периоде у кардиохирургических больных. Клин лабор диагностика 2011; 8:49-52.